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#### Abstract

A novel hardware algorithm, architecture and an optimization technique for residue multipliers are introduced in this paper. The proposed architecture exploits certain properties of the bit products to achieve low-complexity implementation via a set of introduced theorems that allow the definition of a graph based design methodology. In addition the proposed multiplier employs the Canonic Signed Digit (CSD) encoding to minimize the number of bit products required to be processed. Performance data reveal that the introduced architecture achieves area $\times$ time complexity reduction of up to $55 \%$, when compared to the most efficient previously reported design.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Residue Number System (RNS) can efficiently perform computations in digital signal processing (DSP) applications such as the computation of the Fast Fourier Transform and digital filtering [1], since it provides carry-free addition and multiplication and borrow-free subtraction. Several very large scale integration (VLSI) architectures have been proposed for RNS multiplication, implemented, either by memory table lookup techniques, or combinatorial logic [2]-[7].

In this paper properties of bit products that need to be processed in a residue multiplier are investigated. The introduction of a corresponding set of theorems allows the definition of a graphbased design methodology that permits optimization, which was previously only partially possible and by means of exhaustive simulation [7]. The combined effect of an introduced hardware multiplication algorithm and the proposed methodology leads to area $\times$ time efficient multipliers shown to outperform previous designs and offer an efficient alternative to binary multiplication for DSP applications.

## 2. RNS BASICS AND THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

In RNS each operand is encoded as a vector of residues computed with respect to a set of pairwise relatively prime integers $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{M}\right\}$. All integers $A, B$ with $0 \leq A, B<$ $\prod_{i=1}^{M} m_{i}$ have a unique RNS representation $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{M}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{M}\right\}$ where $A_{i}=\langle A\rangle_{m_{i}}, B_{i}=\langle B\rangle_{m_{i}}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, M$ and $\langle x\rangle_{m_{i}}$ denotes the operation $x$ modulo $m_{i}$. The operations of residue multiplication, addition, and subtraction are performed by $C_{i}=\left\langle A_{i} \circ B_{i}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$ and $\circ$ denotes any of the aforementioned operations. RNS is a carry-free number system in the sense that $C_{i}$ can be computed with no information from the residues $\left(A_{j}, B_{j}\right), i \neq j$, thus enabling parallel operation on the residues $A_{i}, B_{i}$.

Let $A, B$, and $C$ be $n$-bit residues modulo $m$, with $n=\left\lfloor\log _{2} m\right\rfloor+1$. Since $A$ and $B$ can be written in binary form
as $A=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} 2^{i}, B=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{j} 2^{j}$ with $a_{i}, b_{j} \in\{0,1\}$, then the residue multiplication operation $C=\langle A \times B\rangle_{m}$ can be written as $C=\left\langle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i} b_{j} 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}=\left\langle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i} b_{j}\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}\right\rangle_{m}=\langle Y\rangle_{m}$. where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i} b_{j}\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the product $C$ can be derived by mapping $Y$ to its residue modulo $m$. The value of $Y$, as defined in (1), can be expressed in binary form as $Y=\sum_{k=0}^{n^{\prime}-1} y_{k} 2^{k}$, where $n^{\prime}$ is the word length of the maximum value of $Y, n^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\log _{2} Y_{\max }\right\rfloor+1$, and $y_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th bit of $Y$. The bits $y_{k}$ of $Y$ to which the bit product $a_{i} b_{j}$ contributes, according to (1), depend on the encoding scheme selected for the value $\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}$. Particularly, the bit weights $\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}$ can be encoded as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{n_{c}-1} \widehat{z}_{k, i, j} 2^{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{z}_{k, i, j} \in\{\overline{1}, 0,1\}$ and $n_{c}$ denotes the required word length for the encoding of $\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}$, with $n_{c} \geq n$. The word length $n_{c}$ can be greater than $n$ in the case that a signed-digit encoding is assumed for $\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}$. By replacing (2) in (1), it is obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{k=0}^{n_{c}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i} b_{j} \widehat{z}_{k, i, j} 2^{k} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3) reveals that depending on the value of $\widehat{z}_{k, i, j}$ being $\overline{1}, 0$, or 1 , the bit product $a_{i} b_{j}$ should be subtracted, ignored, or added to the $k$ th bit of $Y$.

Assume that the bit product $a_{i} b_{j}=1$ and that it should be subtracted from the $k$ th digital position, i.e., $\widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=\overline{1}$. In this case, the partial result $p=a_{i} b_{j} \widehat{z}_{k, i, j} 2^{k}=-2^{k}$ is a term of the multiple summations in (3) and it is written in $n^{\prime}$-bit two's complement format, as follows

Due to (4), in case that $\widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=\overline{1}$ and $a_{i} b_{j}=1$, based on two's complement arithmetic, the addition of the term $p$ to the sum (3) is equivalent to the modulo- $2^{n^{\prime}}$ addition of the value $2^{n^{\prime}}-2^{k}$. Therefore the addition of $a_{i} b_{j} \overline{1} 2^{k}$ is equivalent to the two's complement addition of $p^{\prime}=2^{n^{\prime}}-2^{k}+\overline{a_{i} b_{j}} 2^{k}$. Thus, in order to evaluate (3), each term $a_{i} b_{j} \widehat{z}_{k, i, j} 2^{k}$ is encoded according to the values assumed by $\widehat{z}_{k, i, j} \in\{1,0,1\}$, as

$$
a_{i} b_{j} \widehat{z}_{k, i, j} 2^{k} \rightarrow \begin{cases}\overline{a_{i} b_{j}} 2^{k}+q_{k}, & \text { if } \widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=\overline{1}  \tag{5}\\ 0, & \text { if } \widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=0, \\ a_{i} b_{j} 2^{k}, & \text { if } \widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=1\end{cases}
$$

where $q_{k}=2^{n^{\prime}}-2^{k}$. Due to (3) and (5), $Y$ can be computed by
$Y=\left\langle Y^{\prime}+Q\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}$, where $Q$ is a cumulative additive constant, computed as $Q=\sum_{k, i, j} q_{k}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{n_{c}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{\bar{z}_{k, i, j}=1} a_{i} b_{j}+\sum_{\widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=\overline{1}} \overline{a_{i} b_{j}}\right) 2^{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Initially $Y^{\prime}$ and $Q$ are decomposed into the $n$-bit lower parts $Y_{L}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{L}$, and the $\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)$-bit upper parts, $Y_{H}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{H}$, respectively, which give

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\left\langle\left(Y_{H}^{\prime}+Q_{H}\right) 2^{n}+Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It holds that $Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}=c 2^{n}+\left\langle Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n}}$, where $c$ is a 1-bit carry due to the $n$-bit addition of $Y_{L}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{L}$. As both $Y_{L}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{L}$ are $n$ bit quantities, (7) is written as $Y=\left\langle c^{\prime} 2^{n^{\prime}}+R+\left\langle Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n}}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}$, where $c^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}$ is the carry bit generated by the $\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)$-bit addition of $Y_{H}^{\prime}, Q_{H}$, and $c$. The term $R=\left\langle\left(Y_{H}^{\prime}+Q_{H}+c\right) 2^{n}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}$ can be expressed as $R=\left\langle\left(c^{\prime \prime} 2^{n^{\prime}-n}+R^{\prime}\right) 2^{n}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}$, where $c^{\prime \prime}$ is a single-bit carry and $R^{\prime}$ is an $\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)$-bit quantity, $R^{\prime}=\left\langle Y_{H}^{\prime}+Q_{H}+\right.$ $c\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}-n}}$. Equivalently, $R=\left\langle c^{\prime} 2^{n^{\prime}}+R^{\prime} 2^{n}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}=\left\langle R^{\prime} 2^{n}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}$. Since $R^{\prime} \leq 2^{n^{\prime}-n}-1$ then $R^{\prime} 2^{n} \leq 2^{n^{\prime}}-2^{n}$, hence it follows that $R \leq 2^{n^{\prime}}-2^{n}$. Therefore, since $0 \leq\left\langle Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n}} \leq 2^{n}-1$, it follows that $R+\left\langle Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n}} \leq 2^{n^{\prime}}-1$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\left\langle\left(Y_{H}^{\prime}+\bar{Q}_{H}+c\right) 2^{n}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}+\left\langle Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (8) reveals that $Y$ can be evaluated as a simple addition, without the need for an external modulo operation, as in (7). The residue product $C$ can be expressed as $C=\left\langle D+Y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{m}$ where $D=\left\langle\left\langle\left(Y_{H}^{\prime}+Q_{H}+c\right) 2^{n}\right\rangle_{2^{n^{\prime}}}\right\rangle_{m}$ and $Y^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle Y_{L}^{\prime}+Q_{L}\right\rangle_{2^{n}}$. The value of $D$ is only dependent on the $n^{\prime}-n$ most significant bits of $Y^{\prime}$ and the carry bit $c$, since $Q$ is a constant. A simple combinational circuit can receive the $n^{\prime}-n$ most significant bits of $Y^{\prime}$ and the bit $c$ and compute the $n$-bit value $D$. Based on the modulo arithmetic property $\langle m-a\rangle_{m}=\langle-a\rangle_{m}$, the value of $D$ is selected to be negative and encoded in $(n+1)$-bit two's complement format. Therefore, since $-m \leq D \leq 0$ and $0 \leq Y^{\prime \prime} \leq 2^{n}-1$ it is derived that $-m \leq Y^{\prime \prime}+D \leq 2^{n}-1$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-m<Y^{\prime \prime}+D<2 m \text {. } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (9) dictate that three cases can be distinguished in the computation of the sought residue product $C$ :

$$
C= \begin{cases}Y^{\prime \prime}+D+m, & \text { if }-m \leq Y^{\prime \prime}+D<0  \tag{10}\\ Y^{\prime \prime}+D, & \text { if } 0 \leq Y^{\prime \prime}+D<m \\ Y^{\prime \prime}+D-m, & \text { if } m \leq Y^{\prime \prime}+D<2 m\end{cases}
$$

Therefore the computation of $C$ by (10), requires the computation of $Y^{\prime}$ using (6), followed by the computation of $D$ and $Y^{\prime \prime}$. An implementation of the proposed algorithm is shown in Fig. 1.

## 3. PROPERTIES OF BIT PRODUCTS

The legitimate values of the input residues $A$ and $B$ are bounded by the value of the modulo $m$. Therefore the combinations of the $n$-bit input operands that comprise at least one of the values $m, m+1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$ do not occur. In the following, it is shown that the bit products $a_{i} b_{j}$ or $\overline{a_{i} b_{j}}$ that contribute to bit $y_{k}$ of $Y$ exhibit specific relationships, which can be exploited to lead to low-complexity designs. Definition 1 introduces the concept of compatible bit products and the subsequent theorems reveal the conditions that should be satisfied in order two bit products to be compatible without the need of exhaustive simulation as in [7].
Definition 1. The bit products $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $a_{k} b_{l}$ are called compatible bit products when $a_{i} b_{j}+a_{k} b_{l} \leq 1$, for all legitimate input combinations of the residues $A$ and $B$ with $0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq A, B<m$.

Compatible bit products are of practical interest since they can be added by means of a 2 -input OR gate instead of a 1 -bit adder, thus reducing the cost of addition and preventing the generation of a carry bit that would contribute to the more significant output bits.
Theorem 1. The bit products $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $a_{k} b_{l}$ are compatible if and only if $2^{i}+2^{k} \geq m \bigvee 2^{j}+2^{l} \geq m$ and $i \neq k \vee j \neq l$.
Proof: Initially assume that $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $a_{k} b_{l}$, are compatible, i.e., $a_{i} b_{j}+a_{k} b_{l} \leq 1$, for all legitimate values of the input residues $A$ and $B$. Therefore there are no combinations of $A$ and $B$ for which $a_{i} b_{j}+a_{k} b_{l}>1 \Leftrightarrow a_{i} b_{j}=1 \bigwedge a_{k} b_{l}=1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i}=\bigwedge a_{k}=1 \Leftrightarrow A=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} a_{r} 2^{r} \geq a_{i} 2^{i}+a_{k} 2^{k}=2^{i}+2^{k}  \tag{11}\\
& b_{j}=1 \bigwedge b_{l}=1 \Leftrightarrow B=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} b_{r} 2^{r} \geq b_{j} 2^{j}+b_{l} 2^{l}=2^{k}+2^{l} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs. (11) and (12) show that when $a_{i} b_{j}+a_{k} b_{l} \leq 1$ for all legitimate inputs, there are no combinations of $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \geq 2^{i}+2^{k} \bigwedge B \geq 2^{j}+2^{l} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every residue modulo- $m$ value of $A$ and $B$. Since (13) is false, it follows that at least one of the conditions in (13) is false, or both. Hence, $2^{i}+2^{k} \geq m \bigvee 2^{j}+2^{l} \geq m$, because $0 \leq A, B<m$. Since the bit products $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $a_{k} b_{l}$ do not represent the same term, then the index $i$ cannot be equal to $k$ when $j$ is equal to $l$ and vice versa. Therefore, it follows that $i \neq k \vee j \neq l$. Next suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{i}+2^{k} \geq m \bigvee 2^{j}+2^{l} \geq m \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $i \neq k \vee j \neq l$. Since $A, B$ are residues modulo- $m$ then $A=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} 2^{i}<m$ and $B=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{j} 2^{j}<m$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} 2^{i}+a_{k} 2^{k}<m \quad \bigwedge \quad b_{j} 2^{j}+b_{l} 2^{l}<m \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case that $a_{i}=a_{k}=b_{j}=b_{l}=1$ there is a contradiction between (14) and (15). So, it obvious that (14) and (15) are both satisfied when there is at least one of the $\left(a_{i}, a_{k}\right)$ and $\left(b_{i}, b_{k}\right)$ respectively, that is zero. In this case, at least one of $a_{i} b_{j}$ or $a_{k} b_{l}$ is also equal to zero and thus their sum is $a_{i} b_{j}+a_{k} b_{l} \leq 1$, since it is either zero or one.
Theorem 2. If $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $a_{k} b_{l}$ are compatible bit products then the bit products $a_{j} b_{i}$ and $a_{l} b_{k}$ are also compatible.
Proof: For all legitimate input combinations of input residues $A$ and $B$ assume that $a_{i} b_{j}+a_{k} b_{l} \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow b_{j} a_{i}+b_{l} a_{k} \leq 1$, due to the commutativity of the multiplication. Since $A$ and $B$ span the identical set of legitimate values $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$, by interchanging the names of the variables $A$ and $B$ along with the corresponding bits $a$ and $b$ it follows that $a_{j} b_{i}+a_{l} b_{k} \leq 1$ for all legitimate input values. Hence, the bit products $a_{j} b_{i}$ and $a_{l} b_{k}$ are compatible.

The definition of compatible bit-product pairs can be generalized to compatible $N$-tuples of bit products, the sum of which is always less or equal to one. A compatible $N$-tuple can be processed by an $N$-input OR gate, thus significantly reducing the cost of $N$-bit addition and eliminating the generation of the corresponding carries. In the following, a theorem is introduced that identifies compatible $N$-tuples exploiting the existence of particular compatible bit-product pairs.
Theorem 3. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, with $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then $x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{N} \leq 1$ if and only if for all possible pairs $x_{i}, x_{j}$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $i \neq j$ holds that $x_{i}+x_{j} \leq 1$.
The proposed residue multiplication algorithm requires the computation of $Y^{\prime}$ using (6), which includes summation of inverted bit products $\overline{a_{i} b_{j}}$. Therefore properties of inverted bit products are investigated, with the objective to minimize the cost


Figure 1: The three-stage residue multiplier. The complexity of the first stage is minimized by the proposed BPCA.
of their addition. Theorem 4 introduces a property of inverted bit-product $N$-tuples that their sum assumes a limited set of values while their identification is transformed to the problem of identifying a corresponding compatible bit-product $N$-tuple.
Theorem 4. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, with $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$. If $x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{N} \leq 1$ then $N-1 \leq \bar{x}_{1}+\bar{x}_{2}+\ldots+\bar{x}_{N} \leq N$.

## 4. ORGANIZATION OF THE PROPOSED MULTIPLIER

The proposed residue multiplier is organized in three stages as shown in Fig. 1. The first stage computes $Y^{\prime}$ defined by (6), using cascaded columns of 1-bit adders, organized in a way that the $k$ th column returns the $k$ th output bit of $Y^{\prime}$. The second stage processes the bits of $Y^{\prime}$ and the cumulative constant $Q$ to calculate $D$ and $Y^{\prime \prime}$, while the third stage performs the final residue mapping via the conditional correction described by (10). In particular, to derive the $k$ th bit of $Y^{\prime}$, two sets $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{k}$ are constructed that consist of the bit products of the form $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $\overline{a_{i} b_{j}}$ respectively, that contribute to the $k$ th bit of $Y^{\prime}$. Formally stated, $\mathcal{S}_{k}=\left\{a_{i} b_{j} \mid \widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=1\right\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{k}=\left\{\overline{a_{i} b_{j}} \mid \widehat{z}_{k, i, j}=\overline{1}\right\}$, where $i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Exploiting the data-dependent properties of the bit products of the sets $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{k}$, as described in Section 3, allows the use of simple gates to implement the multibit addition on each output column. The discussion is limited to the carry-save array organization according to which on the $k$ th column, the bit products of the sets $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{k}$ are added along with the carries generated from the $(k-1)$ st column according to the following design rules:
I. Every $N$ bit products of the form $a_{i_{k}} b_{j_{k}}$ identified to be compatible are added with an $N$-input OR gate.
II. Every triplet of inverted bit products that their sum assumes the values two or three, i.e., $2 \leq \overline{a_{i_{1}} b_{j_{1}}}+\overline{a_{i_{2}} b_{j_{2}}}+\overline{a_{i_{3}} b_{j_{3}}} \leq 3$ are added using a 3 -input AND gate. Since the addition of the bit products $\overline{a_{i_{1}} b_{j_{1}}}, \overline{a_{i_{2}} b_{j_{2}}}$, and $\overline{a_{i_{3}} b_{j_{3}}}$ on the $k$ th column always generates a carry bit, the weight $2^{k+1}$ is added to the cumulative constant $Q$. In case that more than three inverted bit products are identified using Theorem 4, the proposed architecture uses the maximal number of 3 -input AND gates to add them in order to limit the corresponding carry propagation to the next more
significant column only.
III. The output of the OR gates and the AND gates along with the remaining bit products and the carry signals are added using 1bit full-adder (FA) and half-adder (HA) cells. The carries of the ( $k-1$ )st column are added as close as possible to the output of the $k$ th column so that the delay of the carry-save array is minimized.

## 5. THE PROPOSED OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY

This section introduces a novel graph-based optimization methodology, the application of which reduces the hardware complexity of the first stage of the proposed architecture. The proposed optimization methodology is twofold. At first the weights $\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}$ are encoded in CSD format in order to minimize the number of bit products of both forms $a_{i} b_{j}$ and $\overline{a_{i} b_{j}}$, distributed on the $k$ th column. Subsequently large compatible tuples are efficiently identified via an introduced graph-theoretic approach, called Bit Product Compatibility Analysis (BPCA). The CSD representation of the weights $\left\langle 2^{i+j}\right\rangle_{m}$ is of particular interest since it requires the minimal number of non-zero digits to represent a value, thus enabling the distribution of the bit products $a_{i} b_{j}$ to the minimal number of output columns. CSD encoding of a given number is unique and a simple algorithm can be employed to convert conventional binary representation to CSD representation [8, p. 507].

The proposed BPCA methodology organizes the bits that participate on the $k$ th column into $N$-tuples so that the number of terms added to produce the $k$ th bit of $Y^{\prime}$ is minimized. The identification of the maximal $N$-tuples that exist in each output column is achieved by a graph theoretic formulation. Specifically, for each $k$ th column an undirected graph $G_{k}\left(V_{k}, E_{k}\right)$ is constructed, called the Bit-Product Compatibility Graph (BPCG). The set of bit products of $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ constitute the vertex set of $G_{k}$, while an edge $(u, v)$ belongs in $E_{k}$ when the bit products that correspond to the vertices $u$ and $v$ are compatible. After constructing the BPCG for each output column, the identification of $N$-tuples is equivalent to the clique partitioning problem, see Fig. 1. In particular, a complete subgraph $G_{s}\left(V_{s}, E_{s}\right)$ with $V_{s} \subseteq$ $V_{k}$ and $E_{s} \subseteq E_{k}$, has the property that for each vertex $u_{i} \in V_{s}$ there exist edges $\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$ connecting $u_{i}$ to the remainder of the vertices $u_{j} \in V_{s}$, with $u_{i} \neq u_{j}$. Based on the way graph $G_{k}$ is constructed, it follows that each bit product represented by the vertex $u_{i} \in V_{s}$ is compatible to all the other bit products with equivalent vertices in $G_{s}$. Hence for all possible bit-product pairs $\left(a_{i_{k}} b_{j_{k}}, a_{i_{l}} b_{j_{l}}\right)$ with $1 \leq k, l \leq\left|V_{s}\right|$ and $k \neq l$, that have their representative vertices $u_{k}$ and $u_{l}$ in $G_{s}$, it holds that $a_{i_{k}} b_{j_{k}}+a_{i_{l}} b_{j_{l}} \leq 1$. So, from Theorem 3 it follows that $\sum_{r=1}^{\left|V_{s}\right|} a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}} \leq 1$. Thus the $\left|V_{s}\right|$ bit-product terms $a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}} \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$, form a compatible $\left|V_{s}\right|$-tuple. Equivalently, to
 sum of the elements of which assume a limited set of values, the following procedure is proposed. The BPCG $G_{k}^{\prime}$ that corresponds to the bit products $a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}}, a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}} \in \mathcal{N}_{k}$, is initially constructed. The solution of clique partitioning on $G_{k}^{\prime}$ [9, pp. 64-67], derives $N$-tuples such that $\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}} \leq 1, \overline{a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}}} \in \mathcal{N}_{k}$. Therefore, due to Theorem 4 it follows that $N-1 \leq \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} \overline{a_{i_{r}} b_{j_{r}}} \leq N$; hence any three bit products of these $N$-tuples can be processed by an AND gate.

## 6. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In this section the performance of the proposed residue multiplier is compared to that of previously reported architectures in terms of area $\times$ time complexity. At first the performance of the proposed

| $n_{\text {bin }}$ | $m_{i}$ | Area |  |  | Time |  |  | Area $\times$ Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RNS | Binary | Savings(\%) | RNS | Binary | Savings(\%) | Savings(\%) |
| 10 | $\{11,12,13\}$ | 474 | 780 | $39.23 \%$ | 31 | 36 | $13.88 \%$ | $47.67 \%$ |
| 12 | $\{11,17,24\}$ | 557 | 1140 | $51.14 \%$ | 36 | 44 | $18.18 \%$ | $60.02 \%$ |
| 14 | $\{24,23,31\}$ | 707 | 1568 | $54.91 \%$ | 38 | 52 | $26.92 \%$ | $67.05 \%$ |
| 16 | $\{47,48,31\}$ | 922 | 2064 | $55.32 \%$ | 45 | 60 | $25.00 \%$ | $66.49 \%$ |
| 24 | $\{129,257,511\}$ | 1979 | 4728 | $58.14 \%$ | 63 | 92 | $31.50 \%$ | $71.33 \%$ |

Table I: Area, time, and area $\times$ time performance of a binary multiplication, compared to proposed residue multiplication.


Figure 2: The area $\times$ time performance of the proposed residue multiplier compared to the architectures presented in [2]- [6].
multiplier is compared to that of a FA-based residue multiplier designed according to the architecture presented in [5]. Fig. 2a present the area $\times$ time performance of the proposed and the FAbased residue multipliers. In almost all cases the proposed architecture is more efficient than the FA-based residue multiplier and the area $\times$ time savings achieved span $22 \%$ to $85 \%$.

In the following the proposed residue multiplier is compared to the architectures presented in [3], [4], and [6]. Fig. 2b reveals that the proposed methodology leads to low-complexity residue multipliers since both the number of the bit products that contribute to each output column is minimized due to CSD and the organization of each output column is simplified by means of the BPCA approach. The area $\times$ time savings achieved when compared to the most competitive residue multiplier [6] range from $18 \%$ to $55 \%$. The proposed multiplier is finally compared to the submodular index transform-based multiplier [2] as shown in Fig. 2c. In all cases, the proposed multiplier is more efficient in terms of area $\times$ time complexity achieving complexity savings of more than $55 \%$, while its application is not limited to prime moduli as required by the index-transform multipliers.

The proposed BPCA utilizes introduced bit-product properties to reduce the complexity of RNS multiplication. BPCA combined with the proposed hardware algorithm, presented in Section 2, leads to residue multipliers that can be used as a building block of multi-modulus RNS multipliers. The derived multimodulus multipliers compare favorably to conventional binary multipliers of equivalent word length and architecture, as shown in Table I. Table I shows that substantial savings in both area and time complexity are achieved by exploiting the proposed residue multipliers and particular choices of moduli. Therefore the use of RNS and the proposed multiplier, leads to acceleration of the multiplication, as well as to hardware reduction of up to $58 \%$ for the particular word-lengths $n_{\text {bin }}$. It is stressed that the above analysis does not include the cost of the conversion from/to a binary representation. The target application domain, i.e., DSP algorithms, require one conversion per data sample, and substantial amount of processing, thus resulting in performance improvement, which can compensate the conversion overhead.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

A novel residue multiplier is proposed in this paper. Initially, a bitlevel algorithm is introduced, which features the design option of signed-digit encoding of the bit-product weights. The complexity minimization is achieved by using a proposed optimization methodology, based on an assortment of introduced theorems, which allow the exploitation of data-dependent characteristics of the bit products, in a computationally efficient manner. Complexity comparisons reveal that the derived residue multiplier is very efficient in the area $\times$ time sense, compared to previously reported designs.
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